



## Assignment 3 – Feedback

### 0 How I graded your assignments

- Similar process to Assignment 1
- See last page for rubric

### 1 Comments to the class

- To check if your R Markdown file runs from top to bottom without any problems:
  - Restart R:  Restart R and Clear Output
  - Now try running your file from top to bottom:  Run All
- When you are describing the results of a simulation study, you are usually talking about the predictions your simulation makes. This needs to be precise in your descriptions. For example,

The table above shows that as the number of baristas increase, the predicted average delay...

or

The table above shows that as the number of baristas increase, the observed sample mean of the average delay...

- Avoid using variable names like “BaristaQ” or “mean.avg.delay” in your report unless (i) you define them first and (ii) they make your report easier to read. It’s usually better to describe the quantities in plain English instead.
- The last two points apply to the column headings in your tables as well!
- “Delay” is already a measure of time, so “delay time” is redundant. (Similar to saying “CAC card” or “ATM machine.”)

	<b>Exemplary (10)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (8)</b>	<b>Unsatisfactory (4)</b>	<b>Weight</b>
Organization / Focus	Paper has a clear focus and is clearly organized so that the reader knows where they are in the narrative / analysis	Organization / focus is there, but not clear to the reader	Incoherent	1
Style / Tone	Appropriate for an academic journal or professional memo	Appropriate for a student paper	Too informal, too many superlatives, too wordy, imprecise	1
Grammar / Punctuation / Spelling / Formatting	No detected errors	A few minor errors	Distracting	1
Appropriate use of technical language	All technical language is used correctly	Technical language is used correctly, for the most part	I don't think that word means what you think it means	1
Presentation of results	Results are clearly presented with any tables explained and referenced	Results are presented and described correctly, for the most part	Results are poorly presented or not presented at all	2
JaamSim	Modifications correctly made	Incorrect number of replications	Otherwise	2
R Markdown code	Runs from top to bottom without intervention	Runs from top to bottom without intervention; minor errors in code	Otherwise	2
<b>Total</b>				<b>100 points</b>